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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Total <br> Sexual Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Indiana High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
§Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points.
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"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

2015 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS

## Indiana High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | alth R | k Beh | vior | Perc | tages |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 |  |  |  |

QNVEG0: Percentage of students who did not eat vegetables (green salad, potatoes [excluding
French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 4.6 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 6.1 | Increased, 2003-2015 No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNVEG1: Percentage of students who ate vegetables one or more times per day (green salad,
potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during
the 7 days before the survey)

| 64.3 | 60.9 | 62.4 | 58.8 | 58.0 | 57.5 | Decreased, 2003-2015 No quadratic change $\quad$ Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNVEG2: Percentage of students who ate vegetables two or more times per day (green salad,
potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during
the 7 days before the survey)

$$
\begin{array}{lllllllll}
28.6 & 24.1 & 26.2 & 23.0 & 21.8 & 22.9 & \text { Decreased, 2003-2015 } & \text { No quadratic change } & \text { Not available }
\end{array}
$$

QNVEG3: Percentage of students who ate vegetables three or more times per day (green salad,
potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during
the 7 days before the survey)

| 12.9 | 10.1 | 12.3 | 10.7 | 9.0 | 9.8 | Decreased, 2003-2015 No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## Total <br> Physical Activity

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN80: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on 5 or more days (doing any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey)
$32.243 .7 \quad 40.6 \quad 43.5 \quad$ 46.5 $\quad$ Increased, 2005-2015 Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ Not available

QNPA0DAY: Percentage of students who did not participate in at least 60 minutes of physical
activity on at least 1 day (doing any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey)

| 27.6 | 15.9 | 19.5 | 15.9 | 15.4 | Decreased, 2005-2015 Not available Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNPA7DAY: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on all 7
days (doing any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time (during the 7 days before the survey)

| 16.4 | 23.5 | 23.4 | 24.2 | 25.3 | Increased, 2005-2015 Not available Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN81: Percentage of students who watched television 3 or more hours per day (on an average school
day)

| 32.9 | 31.9 | 28.7 | 29.0 | 27.0 | 22.3 | Decreased, 2003-2015 No quadratic change $\quad$ Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Male <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |

QN51: Percentage of students who ever used inhalants (sniffed glue, breathed the contents of aerosol spray cans, or inhaled any paints or sprays to get high, one or more times during their life)

| 14.7 | 15.4 | 16.7 | 14.1 | 10.8 | 8.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2015 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Indiana High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report
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${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Indiana High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
§Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points.
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${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## Indiana High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report


QNVEG0: Percentage of students who did not eat vegetables (green salad, potatoes [excluding
French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 6.8 | 5.2 | 7.9 | 6.9 | 7.9 | 8.6 | No linear change | No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNVEG1: Percentage of students who ate vegetables one or more times per day (green salad,
potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during
the 7 days before the survey)
$62.4 \quad 60.3$
$62.3 \quad 59.0 \quad 57.6$
56.0 Decreased, 2003-2015

No quadratic change
Not available

QNVEG2: Percentage of students who ate vegetables two or more times per day (green salad,
potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during
the 7 days before the survey)

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
27.9 & 23.6 & 26.4 & 26.6 & 22.9 & 23.7
\end{array}
$$

No linear change
No quadratic change
Not available

QNVEG3: Percentage of students who ate vegetables three or more times per day (green salad,
potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during
the 7 days before the survey)

| 11.9 | 11.4 | 12.9 | 12.4 | 10.2 | 10.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

2015 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Indiana High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Indiana High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Male <br> Physical Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* | Change from 2013-2015 ${ }^{\dagger}$ |
| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 |  |  |  |

QN80: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on 5 or more days (doing any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey)

| 37.2 | 50.9 | 52.4 | 52.7 | Increased, 2005-2015 N4.5 Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNPAODAY: Percentage of students who did not participate in at least 60 minutes of physical
activity on at least 1 day (doing any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made
them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey)

| 23.4 | 12.5 | 12.9 | 11.8 | 12.9 | Decreased, 2005-2015 Not available Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNPA7DAY: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on all 7
days (doing any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time (during the 7 days before the survey)

| 20.0 | 30.6 | 32.3 | 32.3 | 33.8 | Increased, 2005-2015 Not available Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN81: Percentage of students who watched television 3 or more hours per day (on an average school day)

| 35.6 | 34.2 | 30.9 | 27.7 | 26.9 | 22.2 | Decreased, 2003-2015 No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{\S}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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Trend Analysis Report


QN91: Percentage of students who have been the victim of teasing or name calling because someone thought they were gay, lesbian, or bisexual (during the 12 months before the survey)
$14.1 \quad$ No linear change $11.9 \quad$ Not available ${ }^{\S} \quad$ Not available

QN96: Percentage of students who saw a doctor or nurse (for a check-up or physical exam when they were not sick or injured during the 12 months before the survey)
$67.7 \quad 69.4$
69.4 No linear change

Not available
Not available

QN97: Percentage of students who went to an emergency room or urgent care center because of their
asthma (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey, among students who have
asthma)
$19.2 \quad 20.4$

No linear change
Not available
Not available

QN98: Percentage of students who most of the time or always wear sunscreen (with an SPF of 15 or
higher when they are outside for more than one hour on a sunny day)
$6.6 \quad 5.5$

No linear change
Not available
Not available
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## Female <br> Injury and Violence

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN25: Percentage of students who were electronically bullied (including being bullied through
e-mail, chat rooms, instant messaging, websites, or texting during the 12 months before the survey)
25.5 Decreased, 2011-2015 Not available ${ }^{\S}$ Not available

QN26: Percentage of students who felt sad or hopeless (almost every day for 2 or more weeks in a row so that they stopped doing some usual activities during the 12 months before the survey)

| 30.3 | 33.6 | 36.2 | 37.0 | 34.5 | 39.2 | Increased, 2003-2015 No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN27: Percentage of students who seriously considered attempting suicide (during the 12 months
before the survey)
$18.9 \quad 22.0 \quad 19.1 \quad 22.4 \quad 21.5 \quad$ 26.0 $\quad$ Increased, 2003-2015 No quadratic change $\quad$ Not available

QN28: Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suicide (during the 12 months before the survey)

| 14.0 | 17.0 | 13.1 | 18.0 | 14.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| Female |
| :--- |
| Injury and Violence |

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages
"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Female <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Female <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |

"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| Female <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Sexual Behaviors |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Sexual Behaviors |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Sexual Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Indiana High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Female <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
§Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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"Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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Trend Analysis Report

## Female <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages $\quad$ Linear Change* Quadratic Change* $^{*}$ Change from
2013-2015 ${ }^{\dagger}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNVEG0: Percentage of students who did not eat vegetables (green salad, potatoes [excluding
French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 2.4 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 5.9 | Increased, 2003-2015 No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNVEG1: Percentage of students who ate vegetables one or more times per day (green salad,
potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during
the 7 days before the survey)
$\begin{array}{lllll}66.1 & 61.5 & 62.3 & 58.6 & 58.6\end{array}$
58.9 Decreased, 2003-2015
No quadratic change
Not available

QNVEG2: Percentage of students who ate vegetables two or more times per day (green salad,
potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during
the 7 days before the survey)

| 29.4 | 24.7 | 25.7 | 19.3 | 20.7 | 22.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

22.0 Decreased, 2003-2015

Not available No change, 2009-2015

QNVEG3: Percentage of students who ate vegetables three or more times per day (green salad,
potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during
the 7 days before the survey)

| 13.9 | 8.8 | 11.3 | 9.0 | 7.8 | 8.8 | Decreased, 2003-2015 No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Female <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages Linear Change* ${ }^{*}$ Quadratic Change* Change from 2013-2015 ${ }^{\dagger}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN77: Percentage of students who did not drink a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop (not including diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 22.0 | 18.9 | 23.0 | 28.5 | Increased, 2007-2015 | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNSODA1: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop one or more times per day (not including diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 27.6 | 26.4 | 23.7 | 15.7 | Decreased, 2007-2015 | Not available | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNSODA2: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop two or more times per day (not including diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 19.5 | 17.8 | 13.9 | 10.2 | Decreased, 2007-2015 Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNSODA3: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop three or more
times per day (not including diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 10.4 | 9.1 | 6.7 | 4.5 | Decreased, 2007-2015 Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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Trend Analysis Report

## Female <br> Physical Activity

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN80: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on 5 or more days (doing any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey)

| 27.1 | 36.6 | 28.5 | 34.1 | 38.5 | Increased, 2005-2015 | Not available | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNPA0DAY: Percentage of students who did not participate in at least 60 minutes of physical
activity on at least 1 day (doing any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made
them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey)

| 31.8 | 19.6 | 26.5 | 20.0 | 17.8 | Decreased, 2005-2015 Not available Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNPA7DAY: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on all 7
days (doing any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time (during the 7 days before the survey)

| 12.7 | 16.4 | 14.3 | 15.8 | 16.5 | No linear change | Not available | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN81: Percentage of students who watched television 3 or more hours per day (on an average school day)

| 29.9 | 29.6 | 26.1 | 30.3 | 27.1 | 22.2 | Decreased, 2003-2015 | No quadratic change | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
Based on t-test analysis, p < 0.05 .
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Female <br> Physical Activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2015 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Indiana High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| White* <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| White* <br> Injury and Violence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |

*Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
sBased on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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| White* <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |

QN51: Percentage of students who ever used inhalants (sniffed glue, breathed the contents of aerosol spray cans, or inhaled any paints or sprays to get high, one or more times during their life)

| 13.7 | 14.7 | 16.6 | 14.4 | 11.0 | 6.7 | Decreased, 2003-2015 | No change, 2003-2007 <br> Decreased, 2007-2015 | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| White* <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| White* <br> Sexual Behaviors |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Indiana High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| White* <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

"Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {s B Based on }} \mathrm{t}$-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
IOverweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points.
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| White* <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Indiana High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## White* <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNVEG0: Percentage of students who did not eat vegetables (green salad, potatoes [excluding
French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 3.6 | 3.8 | 4.7 | 4.9 | 5.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

6.5 Increased, 2003-2015

No quadratic change
Not available

QNVEG1: Percentage of students who ate vegetables one or more times per day (green salad,
potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during
the 7 days before the survey)
66.3
62.3
$63.7 \quad 61.3$
59.6
58.8

Decreased, 2003-2015
No quadratic change
Not available

QNVEG2: Percentage of students who ate vegetables two or more times per day (green salad,
potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during
the 7 days before the survey)
$\begin{array}{lllll}29.3 & 23.7 & 25.1 & 23.4 & 22.9\end{array}$
22.3

Decreased, 2003-2015
No quadratic change
Not available

QNVEG3: Percentage of students who ate vegetables three or more times per day (green salad,
potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during
the 7 days before the survey)
$\begin{array}{lllll}12.3 & 9.1 & 11.4 & 10.1 & 9.1\end{array}$
9.4 Decreased, 2003-2015

No quadratic change
Not available

## "Non-Hispanic.

${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## White* <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN77: Percentage of students who did not drink a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop (not including diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 17.9 | 17.1 | 20.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

24.8 Increased, 2007-2015

Not available ${ }^{\text {II }}$
Not available

QNSODA1: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop one or more times per day (not including diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days before the survey)

QNSODA2: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop two or more times per day (not including diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days before the survey)

QNSODA3: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop three or more
times per day (not including diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days before the survey)

[^15]
## Indiana High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| White* <br> Physical Activity <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |
| :--- |
| 1991 |

QN80: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on 5 or more days (doing any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey)
$32.444 .6 \quad 42.0 \quad 45.0 \quad 49.6 \quad$ Increased, 2005-2015 Not available ${ }^{\text {II }} \quad$ Not available

QNPA0DAY: Percentage of students who did not participate in at least 60 minutes of physical
activity on at least 1 day (doing any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made
them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey)

| 26.4 | 14.7 | 17.2 | 14.9 | 13.8 | Decreased, 2005-2015 Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNPA7DAY: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on all 7
days (doing any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time (during the 7 days before the survey)

| 16.9 | 23.4 | 23.7 | 25.6 | 27.4 | Increased, 2005-2015 Not available Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN81: Percentage of students who watched television 3 or more hours per day (on an average school day)

| 28.3 | 28.0 | 24.3 | 25.6 | 23.0 | Decreased, 2003-2015 No quadratic change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| White* <br> Physical Activity |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| White* <br> Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
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QN91: Percentage of students who have been the victim of teasing or name calling because someone thought they were gay, lesbian, or bisexual (during the 12 months before the survey)

QN96: Percentage of students who saw a doctor or nurse (for a check-up or physical exam when
they were not sick or injured during the 12 months before the survey)
$70.2 \quad 69.2$
No linear change
Not available
Not available

QN97: Percentage of students who went to an emergency room or urgent care center because of their
asthma (one or more times during the 12 months before the survey, among students who have
asthma)
$14.4 \quad 17.5$
No linear change
Not available
Not available

QN98: Percentage of students who most of the time or always wear sunscreen (with an SPF of 15 or
higher when they are outside for more than one hour on a sunny day)
$10.3 \quad 9.1$
9.1 No linear change

Not available
Not available
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QN25: Percentage of students who were electronically bullied (including being bullied through e-mail, chat rooms, instant messaging, websites, or texting during the 12 months before the survey)
16.7 Decreased, 2011-2015 Not available ${ }^{\text {II }}$ Not available

QN26: Percentage of students who felt sad or hopeless (almost every day for 2 or more weeks in a row so that they stopped doing some usual activities during the 12 months before the survey)

| 31.4 | 25.2 | 31.1 | 28.0 | 31.4 | 31.2 | No linear change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN27: Percentage of students who seriously considered attempting suicide (during the 12 months
before the survey)

| 15.1 | 14.5 | 11.8 | 20.2 | 21.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

22.2 Increased, 2003-2015

No quadratic change
Not available

QN28: Percentage of students who made a plan about how they would attempt suicide (during the 12
months before the survey)
$\begin{array}{lllll}12.6 & 9.3 & 9.6 & 19.7 & 13.5\end{array}$
19.1 Increased, 2003-2015

No quadratic change
Not available
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| Black* <br> Tobacco Use |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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*Non-Hispanic.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

2015 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Indiana High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report
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| Black* <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |
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| Black* <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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QN57: Percentage of students who ever took prescription drugs without a doctor's prescription (such
as OxyContin, Percocet, Vicodin, codeine, Adderall, Ritalin, or Xanax, one or more times during
their life)

Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$
Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$
Change from 2013-2015 ${ }^{\text {s }}$

QN58: Percentage of students who ever injected any illegal drug (used a needle to inject any illegal drug into their body one or more times during their life)
$\begin{array}{llllll}2.7 & 3.8 & 2.1 & 4.4 & 4.5 & 3.3\end{array}$
3.3 No linear change

No quadratic change
Not available

QN59: Percentage of students who were offered, sold, or given an illegal drug on school property
(during the 12 months before the survey)

| 38.1 | 31.7 | 24.4 | 29.0 | 31.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

31.1

No linear change
No quadratic change
Not available
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## Indiana High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

| Black* <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Non-Hispanic.

'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\text {s B B B }}$ ased on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
 subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points.
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| Black* <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Indiana High School Survey

Trend Analysis Report

## Black* <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages
Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger}$
Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger}$
Change from 2013-2015 ${ }^{\text {8 }}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNVEG0: Percentage of students who did not eat vegetables (green salad, potatoes [excluding
French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during the 7 days before the survey)
$11.3 \quad 12.2$
11.8
7.2
6.4
10.4

No linear change
No quadratic change
Not available

QNVEG1: Percentage of students who ate vegetables one or more times per day (green salad,
potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during
the 7 days before the survey)
$\begin{array}{lllll}49.8 & 46.3 & 51.2 & 43.7 & 54.2\end{array}$
49.3

No linear change
No quadratic change
Not available

QNVEG2: Percentage of students who ate vegetables two or more times per day (green salad,
potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during
the 7 days before the survey)
21.5
22.4
27.6
19.1
19.5
20.9

No linear change
No quadratic change
Not available

QNVEG3: Percentage of students who ate vegetables three or more times per day (green salad,
potatoes [excluding French fries, fried potatoes, or potato chips], carrots, or other vegetables, during
the 7 days before the survey)
$\begin{array}{lllll}14.3 & 12.9 & 13.7 & 11.4 & 8.5\end{array}$
7.0 Decreased, 2003-2015

No quadratic change
Not available

## "Non-Hispanic.

${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
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## Black* <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages $\quad$ Linear Change ${ }^{\dagger} \quad$ Quadratic Change ${ }^{\dagger} \quad$ Change from 2013-2015 ${ }^{\text {8 }}$

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN77: Percentage of students who did not drink a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop (not including diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days before the survey)

| 14.7 | 25.0 | 23.8 | 16.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

1 No linear change
Not available ${ }^{\text {II }}$
Not available

QNSODA1: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop one or more times per day (not including diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days before the survey)
$\begin{array}{lll}36.9 & 19.8 \quad 23.1\end{array}$
20.4

Decreased, 2007-2015
Not available
Not available

QNSODA2: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop two or more times per day (not including diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days before the survey)
$32.8 \quad 14.6 \quad 18.1$
16.6

Decreased, 2007-2015
Not available
Not available

QNSODA3: Percentage of students who drank a can, bottle, or glass of soda or pop three or more
times per day (not including diet soda or diet pop, during the 7 days before the survey)
$\begin{array}{lll}21.7 & 10.5 & 11.7\end{array}$
8.1 Decreased, 2007-2015

Not available
Not available
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## Black*

Physical Activity

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN80: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on 5 or more days (doing any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey)
31.3
41.3
29.8
34.9
30.1
No linear change
Not available ${ }^{\text {It }}$
Not available

QNPA0DAY: Percentage of students who did not participate in at least 60 minutes of physical
activity on at least 1 day (doing any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made
them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey)

| 32.9 | 20.8 | 34.2 | 21.8 | 20.5 | Decreased, 2005-2015 Not available Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNPA7DAY: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on all 7
days (doing any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time (during the 7 days before the survey)
$\begin{array}{lll}14.5 & 26.3 & 20.8\end{array}$
16.4
14.9 No linear change
Not available
Not available

QN81: Percentage of students who watched television 3 or more hours per day (on an average school day)
$\begin{array}{llllll}68.8 & 62.1 & 58.5 & 45.1 & 45.2 & 38.2\end{array}$
$\qquad$
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| Black* <br> Physical Activity |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| Black* <br> Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Hispanic/Latino <br> Injury and Violence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Hispanic/Latino <br> Tobacco Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Hispanic/Latino |
| :--- |
| Alcohol and Other Drug Use |

Health Risk Behavior and Percentages
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Hispanic/Latino <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Hispanic/Latino <br> Alcohol and Other Drug Use <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2015 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Indiana High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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${ }^{*}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
§Overweight and obese prevalence estimates for 1999 differ slightly from previously published results because different BMI cut points were used in 1999 than in subsequent years. To make these prevalence estimates comparable, the 1999 prevalence estimates were recalculated using the updated BMI cut points. ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Hispanic/Latino <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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Trend Analysis Report

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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| Hispanic/Latino <br> Weight Management and Dietary Behaviors <br> Health Risk Behavior and Percentages | Linear Change* | Quadratic Change* |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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## Hispanic/Latino <br> Physical Activity

| 1991 | 1993 | 1995 | 1997 | 1999 | 2001 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 | 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QN80: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on 5 or more days (doing any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey)

| 36.1 | 43.1 | 43.6 | 38.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

No linear change
Not available ${ }^{\S}$
Not available

QNPA0DAY: Percentage of students who did not participate in at least 60 minutes of physical
activity on at least 1 day (doing any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made
them breathe hard some of the time during the 7 days before the survey)

| 19.1 | 22.3 | 13.0 | 21.2 | No linear change | Not available |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

QNPA7DAY: Percentage of students who were physically active at least 60 minutes per day on all 7
days (doing any kind of physical activity that increased their heart rate and made them breathe hard some of the time (during the 7 days before the survey)

| 21.6 | 24.6 | 25.0 | 19.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

9.4 No linear change

Not available
Not available

QN81: Percentage of students who watched television 3 or more hours per day (on an average school
day)

| 29.1 | 30.8 | 35.5 | 20.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

No linear change
Not available
Not available
*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.
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*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

2015 YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY RESULTS
Indiana High School Survey
Trend Analysis Report

| Hispanic/Latino <br> Site-Added |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Health Risk Behavior and Percentages |

*Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
${ }^{8}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.


[^0]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^1]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^2]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^3]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^4]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^5]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^6]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^7]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    sBased on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^8]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\mathbb{T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^9]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^10]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^11]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hised on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^12]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Non-Hised on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^13]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^14]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^15]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^16]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {§ Based on }} \mathrm{t}$-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^17]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^18]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ 'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^19]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
    
    ${ }^{\text {II }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^20]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^21]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^22]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^23]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\mathbb{T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^24]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ 'Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^25]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\mathbb{T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^26]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s Based on }} \mathrm{t}$-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^27]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    sBased on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^28]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s Based on }} \mathrm{t}$-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^29]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^30]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hised on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^31]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^32]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    sBased on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^33]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{8}$ Based on t -test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.

[^34]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p<0.05.
    ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^35]:    *Non-Hispanic.
    Non-Hispanic.

    Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, p $<0.05$.
    §Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {II}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^36]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T}}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^37]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {8}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {T }}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

[^38]:    "Non-Hispanic.
    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Based on trend analyses using a logistic regression model controlling for sex, race/ethnicity, and grade, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{\text {s}}$ Based on t-test analysis, $\mathrm{p}<0.05$.
    ${ }^{1}$ Not enough years of data to calculate.

